

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1 2
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0005		3. EFFECTIVE DATE Jul 1, 2011	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY CODE		N69450	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)		CODE
NAVFAC SOUTHEAST, IPT SC, AJAX ST, PO BOX 30, BLD 135, JACKSONVILLE FL 32212-0030 Georgia.Scott@NAVY.MIL (904) 542-0158					
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)			(X)	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.	
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N69450SOW0030	
				9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)	
				May 23, 2011	
				10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			<input type="checkbox"/>	10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE			FACILITY CODE		

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended, is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:
 (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted;
 or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment your desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

**13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS.
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
<input type="checkbox"/>	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
<input type="checkbox"/>	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)
 RFP FOR SOW #0030, SC/GA MINI-MACC N69450-08-D-1779/1780/1781/1782 & 09-D-1760
 Circuit 20 West, MCLB Albany, Georgia

The RFP has been amended as follows:
 (1) The PPI Log has been attached with answers to recent PPIs. (2) The proposal due date has been extended. (3) Specifications have been revised.

Continued on Page 2

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
15C. DATE SIGNED		16C. DATE SIGNED	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Officer)	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

The following items are applicable to this amendment:

1. The proposal due date has been extended until July 13, 2011 at 2:00 pm EST.
2. The following documents are hereby incorporated in the RFP by this amendment:
 - (1) Revised Pre-Proposal Inquiry Log
 - (2) Drawing "ELECT DIST WEST"
 - (3) Drawing "ELECT DIST EAST"
 - (4) Drawing "ELECT DIST CENTRAL"
3. PART 1, Proposal Documents Revisions:

The following is a summary of the changes in part 1 of the RFP:

In Section 00202, Subsection (II. Purpose), Paragraph 3, in the brief description of work to be performed, the following statement is deleted:

This project involves providing metering of all circuit affected by this project per base standards.

4. PART 2, Specifications and Drawings Revisions:

- A. The following is a revision to the Specifications in reference to PPI #27 on the PPI Log.

All metering requirements are hereby removed from the RFP. All references pertaining to metering by the offeror/contractor shall be disregarded.

- B. The following is a revision to the Specifications in reference to PPI #44 on the PPI Log:

(1) Any requirements referencing wooden poles are hereby removed from the RFP, including the following:

Small Project Part 3, Chapter 6, Section "Additional Requirement", Paragraph 3, page 9, has been revised to delete the following statement:

Provide alternate option to provide concrete poles in lieu of wood poles.

(2) Small Project Part 3, Chapter 6, Section "Additional Requirement", Paragraph 3, page 9, has been revised to add the following statement:

Concrete poles are required for overhead scope of work. Overhead hardware shall have a minimum rating of 25kV.

All other terms and conditions of the solicitation remain unchanged.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THIS AMENDMENT IS REQUIRED WITH YOUR PROPOSAL.

(End of Summary of Changes)

#	Date	Section; Page; Paragrap h	Question	Government Response	Change RFP?	Amendment
1	6/7/11		Please provide the GIS map of the entire base in AutoCAD format if available.	SEE ATTACHMENT "MCLB ALBANY GIS MAP"	N	N/A
2	6/7/11		Will there be any buildings/areas that need to be backed up by a generator during an outage?	YES, GENERATORS WILL BE REQUIRED	N	N/A
3	6/7/11		Please provide a one line diagram of the base electrical system if available.	NOT AVAILABLE AT THIS TIME	N	N/A
4	6/7/11	Reference RFP Small Project Part 3, Chapter 6, Additional Requirements, Page 9 of 9:	The second sentence in the fourth paragraph indicates "Provide metering of all circuits affected by this project per base standards, including but not limited to: circuits 20, 40, 2011, 3011, 3012, 3013, 5011 and 5012." Is it the intent to provide primary metering of all of these circuits at their substation origin? This will result in 8 primary metering cabinets. Please provide the base standard for metering as well. Will any of the meters require remote monitoring, and if so what is the protocol?	REQUIREMENT DELETED	Y	3
5	6/7/11	Reference RFP Small Project Part 3, Chapter 6, Base, Section 1, Page 3 of 9:	If any of the sub-circuits require re-cabling, such as circuit 2011, is the conductor size to remain as 500KCMIL or will smaller conductor sizes be allowed?	ONLY LATERALS SHALL BE SMALLER THAN 500kcmil	N	N/A
6	6/1/11	RFP Factor 2, Sub-Paragraph (a), line 3,	requires for all past performance submissions the Offer is to have "completed and served as prime contractor." However, RFP Factor 1, Definition of a Relevant Project, and Factor 1, Qualifications, do not define a Relevant Project as having been completed by the Offeror as the Prime Contractor. Please clarify the RFP Prime Contractor past performance and relevant experience requirements with regards to the Offeror.	Relevant project are projects that are completed by Offeror as the Prime Contractor. In-addition NAVFAC will recognize a qualified Contractor/Offeror "Team" formed under the Team Definition at FAR 9.601(2)	N	N/A
7	6/1/11	RFP Factor 1 - Qualifications, Sub-Paragraph (a), Submittal Requirements:	Will NAVFAC allow one or more of the relevant projects listed on the two required resumes to have been performed by the individual(s) while in the employ of a contractor other than the Offeror?	Yes we will allow this.	N	N/A

8	6/1/11		<p>Will NAVFAC recognize as responsive a qualified Contractor/Offeror "Team" formed under the Team Definition at FAR 9.601(2) (see below) and submitted in response to the RFP Part B, Technical Factors and Factor 2, Past Performance? Subpart 9.6-Contractor Team Arrangements</p> <p>9.601 Definition. "Contractor team arrangement," as used in this subpart, means an arrangement in which-</p> <p>(1) Two or more companies form a partnership or joint venture to act as a potential prime contractor; or</p> <p>(2) A potential prime contractor agrees with one or more other companies to have them act as its subcontractors under a specified Government contract or acquisition program.</p> <p>9.602 General. (a) Contractor team arrangements may be desirable from both a Government and industry standpoint in order to enable the companies involved to-</p> <p>(1) Complement each other's unique capabilities; and</p> <p>(2) Offer the Government the best combination of performance, cost, and delivery for the system or product being acquired.</p> <p>(b) Contractor team arrangements may be particularly appropriate in complex research and development acquisitions, but may be used in other appropriate acquisitions, including production.</p> <p>(c) The companies involved normally form a contractor team arrangement before submitting an offer. However, they may enter into an arrangement later in the acquisition process, including after contract award.</p> <p>9.603 Policy. The Government will recognize the integrity and validity of contractor team arrangements; provided, the arrangements are identified and company relationships are fully disclosed in an offer or, for arrangements entered into after submission of an offer, before the arrangement becomes effective. The Government will not normally require or encourage the dissolution of contractor team arrangements.</p>	<p>Yes we will accept. The "Team" has to be identified in the proposal, and the "team" has to execute the project during design and construction.</p>	N	N/A
9	6/1/11		<p>PART 2, General Requirements, item 17 refers to the need to verify on-site utilities via locates/dig permits during the construction coordination. Question: During the RFP process, will CAD electronic files or Print drawings of the Existing Underground Utilities be provided for design/pricing coordination as attachment to PART 6? This information will be necessary in order to ensure adequate design coordination between existing and new work.</p>	<p>Contractor/Offeror will have to use a locating service as needed for the proposal.</p>	N	N/A
10	6/15/11	Reference PPI log Response no. 1:	<p>Original Request: Please provide the GIS map of the entire base in AutoCAD format if available. Response: SEE ATTACHMENT "MCLB ALBANY GIS MAP" The file sent was actually a one line diagram, which had many reference files that were not included in the file sent. Please re-send the file make sure to "bind" all reference files prior to sending.</p>	<p>MCLB Albany is in the process of executing a single line project at this time. The data provided is the only data we have at this time.</p>	N	N/A

11	6/15/11	Reference RFP Section G40/PPI Log response no. 3:	Since an official one line diagram, please provide the number of taps are on each feeder in each section in each area. For example, how many taps are off of the line in area 1, section 5, that is going from underground to overhead.	Same as above	N	N/A
12	6/15/11	Reference PPI Log Response No. 1:	The response indicated that some buildings will require generator power during outages. Please provide the building numbers in service type and size so that the cost of proper generator(s) can be provided.	Number of buildings that will require a generator is not available.	N	N/A
13	6/15/11	Reference RFP Small Project Part 3, Chapter 6, Base, Section 1, Page 3 of 9:	If any of the sub-circuits require re-cabling, such as circuit 2011, is the conductor size to remain as 500KCMIL or will smaller conductor sizes be allowed?	Remain 500KCMIL	N	N/A
14	6/15/11		Due to fact the GIS map has yet to be provided and the bid date of July 7 falls after a holiday weekend when prices will need to be pulled together, we would like to request a one week extension for a bid date of July 14.	The proposal due date remains 7/7/11.	N	N/A
15	6/23/11	Section: 00202 Page 2 Paragraph: 1.c	The revised RFP states the proposal due date is June 30, 2011. Amendment 003 states the revised due date is July 07, 2011. Which is the correct due date?	The proposal due date is 7/7/11.	N	N/A
16	6/23/11		If the correct due date is July 07, 2011 is the last day for RFI's 28 June 2011?	Yes, the last day for RFIs is 28 June 2011.	N	N/A
17	6/23/11	Section: 00202 Page: 8	Paragraph: Factor 3: Technical Solutions (first bullet) Question 3: Will ½ size drawing be acceptable for the proposal response?	Yes, ½ size drawings will be accepted.	N	N/A
18	6/25/11		Reference RFP Part 6, G40 Site Electrical Utilities: The third paragraph in this section indicates "Provide and install SF6 insulated, vacuum break, dead-front switches..." Please clarify if the vacuum break part of the switch is required even though the switch itself is under the SF6 gas.	Yes, both are needed.	N	N/A
19	6/27/2011		In each section of duct bank, it shows the circuits that are located in the duct bank. Are we to replace all circuits shown, or just circuit 20? If we are just replacing Circuit 20 are we to install the duct bank for just circuit 20 or prepare it for the other	Proposed design solution shall address the issue of multiple circuits in same duct bank.	N	N/A

			circuits?			
20	6/27/2011		The area 3 sections appear to be feeds to transformers. They are not shown to the local transformer. There is a call out on one of the sub circuits coming from the SWGRs that we are refeeding. Is there any discussion on how the feeds are to be run?	Proposed design solution shall address the issue of lateral feeders and insure that each building shall be powered from Main 1 and Main 2 underground circuits.	N	N/A
21	6/27/2011		There will be railroad crossings. Is there a method that the base want for these crossings to be made, or is that up to the engineer and is it possible to bore a casing under the RR crossing?	Base requirement is underground.	N	N/A
22	6/27/2011		On the overhead, there is a statement about wanting isolation switches in the line, how often are they required?	Proposed design solution should provide reasonable area power outages/isolation.	N	N/A
23	6/27/2011		The current Circuit 20 feed, does not only feed Sub 701, but it also feeds subs 401 and 501? Are we to feed those too in this project?	Yes	N	N/A
24	6/27/2011		In our scope are we supposed to do the GIS coordinates on the project?	Yes	N	N/A
25	6/27/2011		Sectionalizing cabinets are mentioned in each paragraph even with small lengths of cable but only in context when splicing is required. We are assuming that we get to determine when splicing is required and therefore when a sectionalizing cabinet is required, or does the base have a maximum length of cable desired that will determine the number of splices/sectionalizing cabinets required?	Proposed design solution should provide reasonable area power outages/isolation.	N	N/A
26	6/27/2011		Thesf6 switches are specifically shown. If we are tapping a circuit in an existing Manhole then this would require a cabinet above grade to make the splice. How do we get existing conductors above grade without splicing somewhere in a manhole?	Proposed design solution should not require any below grade splicing.	N	N/A

27	6/27/2011		Metering of numerous circuits are indicated in the SOW. The SOW is for feeder replacement and the question is are we required to do work in the existing cubicles to modify and add metering? Will this require metering accuracy ct's to be added and what type of meters are being asked for? Do the existing cubicles have space for this?	All Metering requirements are deleted from RFP.	Y	05
28	6/27/2011		Is it possible for the base to tell us where temporary power will be needed or is the base going to provide this?	Temporary power requirement would be determined by your construction schedule.	N	N/A
29	6/27/2011		Are there any drawings (dwg) of the site that can be given to us to assist in the development of the design as required for the Technical Response? Also, are there existing base utility plans?	Base ACAD drawing provided.	N	N/A
30	6/27/2011		Are there cut sheets of sf6 and sectional switches that are preferred for use on the base?	S&C or G&W currently installed	N	N/A
31	6/27/2011		Is there a preference on pole top group operated switches? We assume manual and no remote or SCADA operations	Any new switches shall contain position indication for future connection to SCADA.	N	N/A
32	6/27/2011		Area 1 Section 1 shows Cir 20, 40 and 2011 in it. What are we to replace? There is a major cost difference in replacing 1 circuit and replacing 3 circuits.	Proposed design solution shall address the issue of multiple circuits in same duct bank to insure that each building/feeder shall be powered through Main 1 and Main 2 underground circuits.	N	N/A
33	6/27/2011		Area 1 Section 4 shows Cir 20, 3011, 3012, 5011, and 5012. What are we to replace? There is a major cost difference in replacing 1 circuit and replacing 5 circuits.	Proposed design solution shall address the issue of multiple circuits in same duct bank to insure that each building/feeder shall be powered through Main 1 and Main 2 underground circuits.	N	N/A
34	6/27/2011		Area 1 Section 5, this overhead circuit is approx 4,000 ft shorter than what field measurements show. Please Clarify. The existing one-line provided shows Cir 20 connected to Sub 401 and Sub 501, please clarify.	Circuit 20 shall be connected to Sub 401 and Sub 501. Circuit measurement include Circuit 20, Sub 401 and Sub 501.	N	N/A
35	6/27/2011		Area 2 Section 1 shows Cir 20 & 40 in it. What are we to replace? There is a major cost difference in replacing 1 circuit and replacing 2 circuits.	Proposed design solution shall address the issue of multiple circuits in same duct bank to insure that each building/feeder shall be powered through Main 1 and Main 2 underground circuits.	N	N/A

36	6/27/2011		Area 2 Section 2 shows Cir 20 & 2011. What are we to replace? There are laterals shown coming from this circuit in Area 3. Are we to connect them to 20 and not use 2011, or are we to replace all of 2011 as well?	Proposed design solution shall address the issue of multiple circuits in same duct bank to insure that each building/feeder shall be powered through Main 1 and Main 2 underground circuits.	N	N/A
37	6/27/2011		Area 2 Section 2 is listed as 15,500 cir ft. Field Measurements only have approximately 2,400 cir ft, please clarify.	NAVFAC cannot verify independent field measurements. Proposal shall be based on 15,500.	N	N/A
38	6/27/2011		Area 2 Section 3 shows Cir 20, 40, 3011, 3012, 3013 What are we to replace? There is a major cost difference in replacing 1 circuit and replacing 5 circuits.	Proposed design solution shall address the issue of multiple circuits in same duct bank to insure that each building/feeder shall be powered through Main 1 and Main 2 underground circuits.	N	N/A
39	6/27/2011		Area 3 All sections shows them currently connected to Cir 2011, and one section connected to 3011. Are we to connect them back to the respective circuits, or are we to lateral off of the Circuit 20 we are installing?	Proposed design solution shall address the issue of multiple circuits in same duct bank to insure that each building/feeder shall be powered through Main 1 and Main 2 underground circuits.	N	N/A
40	6/27/2011		In all areas with multiple circuits, if we are to only replace circuit 20 and not the other circuits, are we to just price a duct bank system that is just sized for circuit 20 or are we to size the duct bank to a specific size so that these additional circuits can be added later?	Proposed design solution shall address the issue of multiple circuits in same duct bank to insure that each building/feeder shall be powered through Main 1 and Main 2 underground circuits.	N	N/A
41	6/27/2011		Due date. Our proposed subcontractors are telling us that having this proposal due on July 7 th will limit their ability to get pricing on materials that will be accurate. Is there any way to extend the due date by one week so that we are not near the July 4 th holiday?	Proposal due date extended to July 13 th .	Y	05
42	6/27/2011		It appears that the duration of the contract may not be long enough when considering design review periods from the government and outages that will be required to move from the existing circuit to the new circuit. Is it possible to change the duration of the contract from 365 days to something on the order of 500 days?	The duration of the contract remains 365 days.	N	N/A
43	6/28/2011		Are any roads allowed to be open cut during the installation of electrical lines (provided that proper vehicle access can be maintained)?	Yes	N	N/A

44	6/28/2011		We still have an option for concrete poles in the description. Did they change the bid form to accept that alternate.	Concrete poles are required for overhead scope, also overhead hardware shall have a minimum rating of 25kV. All references to wooden poles is removed from RFP.	Y	05
45	6/28/2011		Is it permissible to redesign and combine multiple single-pole gas switches into multiple bus multiple way switches with the same functionality?	Yes, Functionality: each building/feeder shall be powered through Main 1 and Main 2 underground circuits.	N	N/A
46	6/28/2011		Is there a minimum number of conduits in a ductbank for lateral feeds?	Two	N	N/A
47	6/28/2011		Can the owner provide the minimum available short circuit current for circuits 20,40 & 50 at the utility connection so that all bidders are using the same basis?	Data not available till completion of Base power study project.	N	N/A