

**Bid Inquiry Contractor Report
All Inquiries**

Project: **FTC Sustainment Brigade TEMFs Adapt/Build**

Review: **Bidder Inquiry**

(sorted by CommentID)

Displaying 62 inquiries.

Id	Discipline	Sheet	Detail	Spec
4316363	Specifications			Design requirements
Is this project 100% full design-bid-build, or is it site-adapt? The proposal requirements section 6.0 Volume I -Section II is titled "Design Technical", however, we see no further evidence of a design requirement either in section 6.0 or any other section. If partial design, where do they define the design submittal and design quality control requirements? On: 06-Dec-11.				
Government Response. . This will be addresses in an Amendment. On: 15-Dec-11				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4318274	Specifications	Vol. 1		Pages 936-1072
Pages 936 to 1072 of Specifications Vol 1 are unreadable. Will you please upload them again. On: 07-Dec-11.				
Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 15-Dec-11				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4318358	General			00112, 5.1.1
Stephanie, please clarify the note below found in Specification section 00112, subpart 5.1.1. "For purposes of evaluating Prime Contractor Past Performance, the Prime Contractor is defined as the contractor identified in Block 14 of the Standard Form 1442. If more than one contractor is listed in Block 14, then a signed joint venture must be submitted with the proposal and the joint venture shall be registered as such in the Central Contractor Registration (CCR). However, each party of the Joint Venture must submit their own DUNS number with the JV proposal. Projects performed by other contractors than the offeror, such as teaming partners or subcontractors, will not be evaluated as prime contractor experience or prime contractor past performance, unless those other contractors are part of a joint venture offeror as demonstrated by a signed joint venture agreement." I have an approved Department of Defense Mentor-Protégé Agreement which allows the past performance of the Mentor to be used by the Protégé and for the Protégé to be authorized to team with the Mentor on a DoD Project without having to form a Joint Venture. Will you accept the DoD Mentor-Protégé Agreement with the understanding the Mentor and Protégé can team on the project and the past performance of the Mentor can be used for evaluation? A copy of my approved DoD MP Agreement can be provided upon request for further consideration in this matter, questions regarding the DoD MP Program can be directed to Janet Odom at DCMA. Odom, Janet (Janet.Odom@dcma.mil) On: 07-Dec-11.				
Government Response. . No additional information will be provided. See Section 00112, 5.1.1. On: 19-Dec-11				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4322439	Construction Management			SF1442
The 10:00 bid time as noted in Block 13 of SF1442 creates a problem with overnight mail services being able to deliver the proposal packages by the required time. The current offer due time would require us to mail bid packages by Jan 31st to assure they are delivered on time, which would put us at a competitive disadvantage as many subcontractor and vendor bids will not be received until Feb 1st. Can the offer due time be changed from 10:00 am to 2:00 pm? If the offer due time cannot be changed we need to be allowed to electronically modify the bids prior to offer due time on the due date. On: 09-Dec-11.				
Government Response. . No additional information will be provided. The due date and time will not be changed at this time. Electronic bids are not allowed. On: 15-Dec-11				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4327546	Contracting	Section 00010		Section 00010
Does the pre-bid site visit attendees need prior clearance to be able to get on to the base for the site visit? On: 12-Dec-11.				
Government Response. . No additional information will be provided. On: 15-Dec-11				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				

Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4329139	Specifications			01 45 04.10 06
Paragraph 3.5.3a of the specification noted states "These individuals shall be directly employed by the prime contractor....this project". The "Experience Matrix Table" also indicates that each of these individuals must be the prime contractor's employee. It would be rare that a "small business" would employ personnel that would meet the experience requirements for the individuals listed as members of the quality control team. We request that the individual disciplines provide this person for the period required as they have the personnel that would meet the qualifications stated. Please address this issue so that we may know how to approach the CQ Program. On: 13-Dec-11.				
Government Response. . Yes, these individuals are to be employed by the prime and only performing QC work. On: 03-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4329173	Other			Wage Determination
There is a very wide discrepancy between the prevailing wages of several classifications for this solicitation and a similar solicitation W912QR-11-R-0085 that is bidding within a few days of each other. This will make it almost a requirement to use the higher wage determination for a particular craft regardless of the requirements of the particular solicitation in each case. Is there anything that can be done to get the prevailing wages closer together for the projects. If not, it will affect the cost of both projects having to use the higher wages. On: 13-Dec-11.				
Government Response. . No additional information will be provided. Wage rates are based upon the state in which the work is performed. This project is in the state of Tennessee, while the other solicitation you refer to is in the state of Kentucky. On: 15-Dec-11				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4331468	Construction Management			Vol 1 and 2
Several pages of Specifications Volumes 1 and 2 are not legible when printed. Please issue corrected files. On: 14-Dec-11.				
Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 15-Dec-11				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4338277	General			6.0 Volume I - Section II - Design Technical
6.1 is Tab A - Management 6.3 is Tab C - Safety There is no 6.2 Tab B Please confirm that Management and Safety are the only sections in Volume I Section II On: 16-Dec-11.				
Government Response. . Please disregard numbering error. There is only 6.1 Tab A - Management and 6.3 Tab C - Safety. On: 19-Dec-11				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4338298	General			6.1.1.1 Management Plan
There is no mention of submitting resumes in 6.1.1.1. However, in the evaluation criteria, it states "An organization chart that clearly depicts an on-site team that meets or exceeds the minimum personnel requirements of the RFQ, which is supported by appropriate resources off-site and that clearly defines responsibilities will be rated higher than a chart that does not provide such clarity and understanding of the project requirements." How do we show the qualifications of our personnel on the orgchart without including their resumes, particularly the very specific requirements of the SSHO? On: 16-Dec-11.				
Government Response. . No additional information will be provided. List credentials of personnel within the org chart. On: 21-Dec-11				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4338376	Safety			01 35 26.00 06 Page 5
1.6.1.1 Site Safety & Health Officer - states "The SSHO shall report to a senior project (or corporate) official and shall be employed by the prime contractor." 3.5.1 Construction Quality Organization states "The CQC System Manager and the Site Safety & Health Officer shall be employees of the Prime Contractor and members of the CQC staff. Typically, the SSHO and the CQC System Manager are functionally separate from the production staff and report to a corporate executive. Please confirm that the SSHO and the CQC System Manager both report to a corporate executive. On: 16-				

Dec-11.				
	Government Response. . Yes, the SSHO and the CQC System Manager both report to a corporate executive. On: 03-Jan-12			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4342518	Contracting	00100		00100
We have been asked to submit a subcontract bid for this project. Is the list of general contractor bidders closed, or is it possible for our firm to offer a general contractor proposal? Thanks. On: 20-Dec-11.				
	Government Response. . Please see SF1442, Box 10. This is a Small Business Set-Aside. No additional information will be provided. On: 21-Dec-11			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4343249	Other			W912QR-12-R-0017
For the purposes of responding to the small business set-aside component of this solicitation, does a Joint Venture (JV) team formed between a qualified small business firm and a larger (non-small-business) firm still qualify the JV as a small business? On: 20-Dec-11.				
	Government Response. . Please see Section 00112, 5.1.1. NOTE. No additional information will be provided. On: 21-Dec-11			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4343783	Other	Bid Bond		Bid Bond
Does the Government require the Offeror to provide a bid bond with the proposal due to the size of the project? On: 20-Dec-11.				
	Government Response. . Please see SF1442, Box 13.B. Also, see Section 00112, 7.2.1.B. No additional information will be provided. On: 21-Dec-11			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4345736	Construction Management			00 80 00.00 06, Page 19
Regarding item 1.18 - Superintendence of Subcontractors, can the second Superintendent have other duties? For instance, as a Project Manager/Superintendent? Project Superintendent / SSHO? Or Project Superintendent / CQC System Manager? On: 21-Dec-11.				
	Government Response. . The second Superintendent can have other duties. But not positions listed as having "no other duties", such as the SSHO and CQC System Manager. On: 03-Jan-12			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4345934	Site	CU501B	Storage Tanks	
Specification 335610 calls for the storage tanks to meet UL-2085 (fire-rated) requirements, but the TTS model 528G specified on CU501B does not meet this requirement. Please clarify whether we should include this significant cost premium in our proposal to meet UL-2085 requirements for the storage tanks. On: 21-Dec-11.				
	Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 03-Jan-12			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4345935	Site	CU502A	Storage Tanks	
Note 26 on CS-102A says to refer to CU-502A for details on the used oil storage tanks, but we do not have this drawing. Please advise whether this drawing needs to be added to our bid set of documents or whether or if we are to base the pricing for the tanks on detail A1/CU-501B. On: 21-Dec-11.				
	Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 03-Jan-12			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4345937	Civil	CG101	Grading	

Could the grading plan be provided in CADD format? This would increase the accuracy of our dirt take-off and enable us to provide the most competitive earthwork bid possible. On: 21-Dec-11.				
Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 03-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4345939	Civil	CG101	Grading	
Could the grading plans be provided in CADD format? This would increase the accuracy of our dirt take-off and enable us to provide the most competitive earthwork bid possible. On: 21-Dec-11.				
Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 03-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4347068	Environmental			02 84 16
Pages 932 thru 1072 of volume 1 specs containing the Asbestos Survey information are not legible - could you please publish a clean set. On: 22-Dec-11.				
Government Response. . This was addressed in Amendment 0001. On: 03-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4347781	General	Specs		
If the Offeror is a Joint Venture, can we show up to three relevant projects from each joint venture member or only 3 projects total? On: 22-Dec-11.				
Government Response. . No additional information will be provided. Please see Section 00112, 5.1.1. On: 03-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4352220	Civil	cg101		earthwork
Can we get access to the native files to this project like we did on the vehicle maintenance facility? This produces a much more accurate takeoff and a better bid for the government. On: 28-Dec-11.				
Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 03-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4356536	Civil	cg102-a		storm sewer
On sheet cg102A, there are several storm structures and pipes that are not shown on storm profile sheets, so i do not know the depth or the size/type of the pipes. Thes include pipes at OS4, OS5, OS2,OS3, OS1. Can you provide more information on these? On: 03-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 04-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4357663	Civil			31 00 00.00 06 Earthwork
Paragraph 3.2.8 Compaction Grouting indicates that additional details about the Compaction Grouting are also provided in the Geotechnical Report, which is available as a reference document upon request. Can you please provide the geotechnical report for this project? On: 03-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 04-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4358335	Contracting			00010
On page 5 of 172 it states "The contractor shall pay \$12,946 to the Government within 30 days of Notice to Proceed for the marketable standing timber." Could this be revised to state the amount would be due 'after' harvesting or at least 60 days of Notice to Proceed? On: 04-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 10-Jan-12				

Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4359159	Civil	cp501	a7/a4	
On sheet cp501 details A4 and A7, it calls out for the areas of plain concrete and the organizational parking lot(roller compacted concrete) to be 12" lime stabilized. I just wanted to double check....Will the building pad area be lime stabilized. I hadn't seen it anywhere , just wanted to make sure. On: 04-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . Bid as shown. Building slabs shall be constructed per details shown on sheet S-501. On: 10-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4359209	Civil	cp501	c7	
Regarding the access drive (exist gravel pavement)shown on demo sheet to be pulverized /reused for cement stabilization subgrade. On detail c7 sheet cp501, the bottom layer shows "12 inch full depth reclamation OR stone subbase (ky dga 2 lifts). Are you giving us the option to replace full depth reclamation with 2 lifts of this stone? Please advise. On: 04-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 09-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4359216	Civil	cp501	a7	
On cp501 detail A7, the layer shown to be 4" stabilized drainage blanket also lists (Ky no 57 stone). Which will this be? Will it be just 57 stone or an actual stabilized layer? On: 04-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . Bid as shown. See specification 32 11 11 for description of stabilized drainage blanket. On: 09-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4361474	Civil	cd101-a		
On the demolition plan, there are different hatches for gravel pavements, one hatch pattern shows "existing gravel pavement see note 3", the other shows gravel pavement removal" The first one that refers to note 3 states "existing gravel pavement shall be pulverized, graded and resused for cement stabilized material". 2 questions.... first, will the larger area 5080 sy of gravel pavement removal be subject to note 3 also? and second, when you say cement stabilized subgrade removal, are you referring to Full depth reclamation? On: 05-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . Bid as shown. Per sheet CD101-A, Note 3 pertains to the Existing Gravel Pavement pattern only. Per sheet CG303-A Access Road station 2+00 - 4+32 uses the pavement section assigned to keynote 5 (Full Depth Reclamation), which correlates to the demo pattern shown on CD101-A. On: 09-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4364984	Civil		Grouting	313223
Paragraph 3.2.2 says that we are to extend the grout column to competent bedrock. Please define what will be considered competent bedrock, as the boring logs show some thin layers of rock that may or may not be classified as competent. On: 06-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 11-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4365003	Civil		Grouting	313223
Paragraph 1.3 says that 3 compaction grout piles will be constructed under each column footing of the main TEMF structure. Will the contractor be responsible for determining the bid quantities for drilling and grouting? If so, bids will not be apples to apples. If we are responsible for determining the bid quantities, will discrepancies between bid quantities and actual field quantities be compensated accordingly? On: 06-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 11-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				

4365023	Civil		Grouting	313223
Specification 313223 does not address whether we will be responsible for grouting voids. Please advise how we should price grouting any voids encountered during the grouting process. On: 06-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 11-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4365145	Civil	ms401-b		
note 10 on this sheet calls for any water line installed under roadways or parking areas to be encased (sleeved). There was a clarification on the vehicle maintenance facility that this got changed to just include casing under roadways and not the hardstand & parking areas. Will this project be the same way? This is a heavy expense if all this water line has to be encased . On: 06-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 09-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4370488	Civil	multiple		
On all these jobs at Ft campbell, will TDOT and KYDOT spec stone be acceptable or does this depend on what state the job is located in? On: 10-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . Bid as shown. If neither is specified, either is acceptable. On: 11-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4370585	Civil	L-101-B	Keynote #7	
Sheet Keynotes- 7 SOD, SAME MIX AS LANDSCAPE PLANTING SCHEDULE ITEM B Since it will be near impossible to attain sod with the same mix as item B can you provide an alternate mix for this application? On: 10-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 10-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4371309	Communications	ES601A		
Sheet ES601A shows from manhole C2 to C3 is calling for a 600 pair copper cable and on sheet ES102A is calling for a 200 pair between these manholes. Which is correct, the 600 pair or the 200 pair? On: 10-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 11-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4386055	Architectural	A-105	Keynote 5	07 61 14.00 20 2.8 Pa 3
Specifications call for a "Safetraxx" Roof Safety Tie-Off System. Drawings call for a Fall Arrest Safety Anchor. Please clarify which system is desired. On: 19-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . Per clause 52.236-21, "In case of difference between drawing and specifications, the specifications shall govern." Bid as shown in Specification 07 61 14.00 20. On: 23-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4386334	Specifications	N/A	N/A	08 33 23 - High Speed Insulated Overhead Coiling Doors
My company, RYTEC Corporation, is the largest manufacturer of high-speed, high performance doors in the US. We have supplied our Spiral model doors on several projects with the USACE and currently have them installed at numerous military installations throughout the country. There are several high-speed doors on this project that have been specified as another manufacturer "or approved equal". While our door may not meet every detail of the specifications we do exceed the design intent for the high-speed doors - our door is faster, requires less maintenance and has a longer usable lifespan. What are the steps we need to take for our door to be considered as an approved equal on this project? Thank you. (Attachment: Spiral.pdf) On: 19-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . If a product meets and exceeds the requirements of the specifications it may be included as a proposed betterment at the offeror's discretion. On: 23-Jan-12				

Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4386352	Civil	CU501B & CU502A	Oil Storage Tanks	
The details for the oil storage tanks appear to show some type of external, open-air containment box that the double-wall tanks sit within. However, there are no details for this external containment box and the specifications do not address them. If these containment boxes are required, please provide details & specifications to price, including construction, sizes, and provisions for overflow. Otherwise there is not enough information to price these boxes. On: 19-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . The contractor is directed to look at the note on the upper right hand side of sheets CU501B and CU502A. According to this note, the "external, open-air containment box" is actually an aboveground dike. The dike shall be constructed of steel and shall be sized to hold the entire contents of the primary tank. The primary tank is a 500 gallon (minimum) holding tank, basis of design is a TTS Waste Pro Premium Model 528G, or approved equal. There are no provisions for overflow. On: 23-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4386532	Electrical	E602-A		
The power riser diagram on Sheet E602-A shows a TUAV panelboard being fed from the Distribution Panelboard (DPL). There is no TUAV building indicated or shown on the drawings for this project. Please confirm this panelboard should be deleted from the project scope. On: 19-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . This project does not include a TUAV. On: 23-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4386537	Electrical	E602-A		
The power riser diagram on sheet E602-A shows SATS Containers #14 and #15 being fed from the Distribution Panelboard (DPL). The Panel Schedule for DPL shows #14 and #15 as being Spares. As there is conflicting information, which are we to price: breakers for the SATS containers or leave it open as Spare space? On: 19-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . Bid as shown, #14 and #15 are spares. On: 24-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4386554	Electrical	Vol 1 ES102-A		
Vol. 1 Sheet ES102-A shows the locations of the new communications ductbank and Cable TV serving the site but are conflicting with the Keynotes. The drawings shows several "New Cable Handhold" locations which are also referenced to the Keynotes #3 and #6 which state the handholds are "Existing". Please clarify if the handholds are new or existing as this cannot be determined as currently shown. On: 19-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . Keynote #8 shows existing. All others are new pullboxes, as shown on detail A1 on ES-501-A. On: 24-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4386564	Contracting			All
There are several references in the drawings and specifications which refer to a Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (TUAV) building which is not currently shown to be included in this project's scope of work. Please confirm that any reference to the TUAV should be disregarded and not included in the contract for this project. On: 19-Jan-12.				
Government Response. . Any reference to TUAV in this project should be disregarded as it is not included in the scope. On: 23-Jan-12				
Current Request Status: Request Closed				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4386585	Communications	Vol. 1 ES102-A		
Vol. 1 Sheet ES102-A shows the Cable TV lines to be installed but there is conflict between the drawing and the Keynotes and Legend. The legend shows all of the CTV manholes are "Existing". Keynote #8 states that the manhole shown at the bottom right side of the page is the only "Existing" manhole and the Contractor is to tie into that manhole and run new conduit to the site. Please clarify which manholes are "Existing" and which ones are to be "New" as this cannot be determined from the current drawing. On: 19-Jan-12.				

	Government Response. . Keynote #8 identifies the existing manhole, all others are new pullboxes, as shown on detail A1, Sheet ES-501-A. On: 25-Jan-12			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4386782	Communications	Vol.1 ES102A; ES501A		
Vol.1 Sheet ES102A shows that the Cable TV line has several new manholes. Sheet ES501-A Detail A1 shows a typical CATV pullbox. Please confirm that any new cable TV "Manholes" are actually pullboxes as shown on Detail A1. On: 19-Jan-12.				
	Government Response. . Keynote #8 on ES102A shows existing. All others are new pullboxes, as shown on detail A1 on ES-501-A. On: 24-Jan-12			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4386941	Plumbing	P504;P607 TEMFs		
The Bulk Oil Lubrication system drawings on sheets P504 and P607 for the TEMF buildings do not indicate if the contractor is to supply the 55 gallon drums for the various lubricants listed. Please clarify if we are to provide these drums and lubricants, or are we to provide everything to make the system operational and the government will provide the 55 gallon drums of lubricant. On: 19-Jan-12.				
	Government Response. . The user is to supply the 55 gallon drums, not the contractor. On: 23-Jan-12			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4386984	Safety	A105-A		07 61 14
Sheet A105-A shows ten (10) Fall Arrest Safety Anchors to be installed on the standing seam metal roof. Specification Section 07 61 14 describes a Roof Fall Arrest System that is vastly different from what is detailed in the drawings. Typically the Roof Anchors are welded to the steel frame and flashed in with the roof system. The Fall Arrest System detailed in the specifications is a system that is mounted to the ribs of the SSMR panels with a sliding track for anchorage. These anchors cannot be priced as shown as there are conflicts between the plans and specifications. Please clarify whether Roof Anchors or the Fall Arrest System is required. On: 19-Jan-12.				
	Government Response. . Per clause 52.236-21, "In case of difference between drawing and specifications, the specifications shall govern." Bid as shown in Specification 07 61 14.00 20. On: 23-Jan-12			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4387002	Civil	P-501A & P-501B	Oil/Water Separator	
The drawings & specifications do not indicate the size of the oil/water separators for either TEMF building. We cannot price the separators without knowing their sizes. Please provide the capacity for the oil/water separators for TEMF A & TEMF B. On: 19-Jan-12.				
	Government Response. . Please refer to the sheet P-001A and P-001B note 12, a 1000 gallon capacity oil/water separator is required. On: 23-Jan-12			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4387012	Structural	S110-A		03 31 00 - Cast In Place Concrete
Specification Section 03 31 00 has Class A, B, C, & D concrete finishes listed for formed concrete structures and says to reference the drawings for structures to receive these finishes. The Arms Vault and ComSec Vault do not have a finish shown on the drawings. Are we to only point and patch the formwork tie holes, or do the exposed concrete walls and ceilings require a rubbed concrete finish? On: 19-Jan-12.				
	Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 23-Jan-12			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4387055	Structural	S110-A; I601-A		
Sheet S110-A shows the Arms Vault and ComSec Vault as cast-in-place concrete walls. The Finish Schedule on Sheet I601-A shows the walls are to be CMU. Please clarify whether concrete or CMU is to be used for these walls as these two plans are conflicting and cannot be bid as shown. On: 19-Jan-12.				

	Government Response. . Bid as shown in S-110-A. On: 23-Jan-12			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4392582	Architectural			133420
Metal building spec section 13 34 20 pages 30 and 31 note a 5 year warranty. Pages 32 and 33 note 20 year. What is the correct warranty period. On: 23-Jan-12.				
	Government Response. . This will be addressed in an Amendment. On: 25-Jan-12			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4393610	Building Design & Engineering	A101A	Notes 1,3,8	
Under "General Sheet Notes" on Drawing A-101-A (HWSB), Note #1, it states "PEMB Manufacturer shall field verify all as-built dimensions. Any discrepancies shall be brought to the attention of the Contracting Officer". - Under "Sheet Key Notes" on Drawing A-101-A (HWSB), Note #3 states "Structural standing seam metal roof by PEMB". - Under "Sheet Key Notes" on Drawing A-101-A (HWSB), Note #8 states "Gutters and downspouts by PEMB". ***The design as shown on Drawing A-101-A is shown as a structural masonry with light gauge metal trusses. Please confirm the correct design.*** On: 24-Jan-12.				
	Government Response. . Bid as shown On: 24-Jan-12			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4393612	Building Design & Engineering	A-101-A	Notes 1,3,8	
- Under "General Sheet Notes" on Drawing A-101-A (POL), Note #1, it states "PEMB Manufacturer shall field verify all as-built dimensions. Any discrepancies shall be brought to the attention of the Contracting Officer". - Under "Sheet Key Notes" on Drawing A-101-A (POL), Note #3 states "Structural standing seam metal roof by PEMB". - Under "Sheet Key Notes" on Drawing A-101-A (POL), Note #8 states "Gutters and downspouts by PEMB". ***The design as shown on Drawing A-101-A is shown as a structural masonry with light gauge metal trusses. Please confirm the correct design.*** On: 24-Jan-12.				
	Government Response. . Bid as shown On: 24-Jan-12			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4393613	Structural	S-001, S-002		
Also, I would like clarification as to what the government expects with the special moment frames as described on Drawing S-002. Our design will meet the seismic design criteria as noted on Drawings S-001 and S-002. Will you ask the Question: - If the PEMB meets the standards for seismic requirements as described in Drawings S-001 and S-002, will special moment frames still be required? On: 24-Jan-12.				
	Current Request Status: Request Working			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4393655	Other	Demolition		02 41 00
Will all the existing equipment within the buildings be available for Contractor salvage or will the Government be removing any items prior to award? On: 24-Jan-12.				
	Current Request Status: Request Working			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4393788	Civil	cd101-b		
Thank you for the native files, I have one question though, we need the existing topo (existing grades) of the temf B project (106th). The existing grades of the temf A were accidentally uploaded as temf B existing grades. Can you issue that file please? On: 24-Jan-12.				
	Government Response. . Please see the WinZip file W912QR12R0017NativeTEMFBVol4-0002.zip from Amendment 0002. It contains the TEMF B existing grade file, _321601B_v-sp0001.dgn On: 25-Jan-12			
	Current Request Status: Request Closed			
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				

4394295	Civil	cs 102B		
On sheet cs102-B, the keynote #17 calls out "new plain concrete, see note A1/sheet CP501B for detail". On sheet cp501B, this detail is labeled "concrete pavement" and shows simply 8" concrete. Please confirm this is the correct detail for the plain concrete since detail D6 on the same sheet shows 6" plain concrete. On: 24-Jan-12.				
Current Request Status: Request Pending				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4394304	Civil	cp501B		
On sheet cp501B, it calls out a concrete dumpster pad 6" plain concrete, 8" kytc no 57 drainage layer, non woven geotextile fabric, and uncompacted subgrade. Please confirm this is not the detail for plain concrete. Also, please clarify that this subgrade is to be uncompacted? Why would it be uncompacted? On: 24-Jan-12.				
Current Request Status: Request Pending				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4394310	Civil	cp501B		
On sheet cp501B detail D1, it says 12" compacted full depth subgrade. Please clarify here....is this just calling for compacted subgrade or are you intending this to be full depth reclamation subgrade? On: 24-Jan-12.				
Current Request Status: Request Pending				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4394323	Civil	cp501B		
Sheet cp501B. Detail C1 is referencing roller compacted concrete and D8 is referencing organizational parking lot concrete pavement. These 2 details are referencing the same area. They are very similar except for detail C1 calls for "4 inches kytc 57 stone stabilized drainage layer" and D8 calls for just "4 inches drainage blanket (ky no 57 stone). Are you intending this layer to be a stabilized drainage layer or not? Which detail should we go by? On: 24-Jan-12.				
Current Request Status: Request Pending				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4394830	Civil	L-101-A	Landscaping	
Drawing L-101-A shows (2) type "A" river birch trees, but the planting schedule on this page calls for (7) trees. Please clarify whether we should include 2 or 7 trees. On: 24-Jan-12.				
Current Request Status: Request Pending				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				
4394837	Civil	L-101-B	Landscaping	
L-101-B shows (18) river birch trees in plan, but the planting schedule on the same page only calls for (7) of these trees. Please clarify whether we should include 7 or 18 trees for the TEMF-B site. On: 24-Jan-12.				
Current Request Status: Request Pending				
Information for this report is procurement sensitive.				

Report Complete

There are currently a total of 183 users online as of 07:34 AM 25-Jan-12.
SM property of ERDC since 2004.

Questions and comments to Call Center staff@rcesupport.com, 1-217-367-3273 or 1-800-428-HELP (4357)

Classified information is NOT permitted on this site. Do NOT share your ProjNet password.