

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1 21
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0003	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 29-Jun-2009	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY US ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT SAVANNAH 100 W OGLETHORPE AVENUE SAVANNAH GA 31401-3640	CODE W912HN	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W912HN-09-R-0047	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 11-Jun-2009	
			10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
			10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.				
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).				
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) SOF/Force Protection, PN60636 Pope AFB, NC Amendment is hereby issued to incorporate Attachments 1 thru 5 into Section 00100 of Solicitation. See Page 2.				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
		TEL:	EMAIL:	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		30-Jun-2009

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION 00100 - BIDDING SCHEDULE/INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

SECTION 00100**RFP SECTION 00100
PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS AND INSTRUCTIONS**

1.1 This Request for Proposal (RFP) solicits construction of a permanent, non-combustible, FY 09 SOF SECURITY / FORCE PROTECTION (14th ASOS) at Pope AFB, North Carolina from certified 8(a) firms located in North Carolina or firms with an approved bonafide office in North Carolina only. This facility will be Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility (SCIF). The contract will be a Firm-Fixed Price (FFP).

1.2 The purpose of the Source Selection plan is to establish a uniform evaluation procedure for the technical evaluation of proposals by the Source Selection Evaluation Board (SSEB) and the development of the Best Value Decision by the Source Selection Authority (SSA) using the Trade-Off Process (See Federal Acquisition Regulation 15.101-1). In as much as the proposal shall describe the capability of the Offeror to perform any resulting contract, the proposal should be specific and complete in every detail as well as be prepared simply and economically, providing a straightforward and concise description of capabilities to satisfactorily perform the contract.

1.3 For the purposes of this solicitation, the Government will utilize the Trade-Off Process (See Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 15.101-1). This process requires potential contractors to submit their performance, capability, technical and cost information for review and consideration by the Government. The technical information contained in the proposal will be reviewed and evaluated by the Government in accordance with the evaluation criteria set out in this section. Cost information will not be rated during the technical review but will be evaluated in response to the funding limitations set out in Section 00010 – PRICE PROPOSAL SCHEDULE and other Offerors' price proposals.

2. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

2.1 Firms formally organized as design-build entities, design firms and construction contractors that have associated specifically for this project, consortia of firms, or any other interested parties may submit proposals. A design firm or construction contractor may offer more than one proposal by entering into more than one association. Associations may be joint ventures or include key team subcontractors. Any legally organized Offeror may submit a proposal.

2.2 Contractor Team Arrangements. Contractor Team Arrangements are considered an arrangement in which (1) two or more companies form a partnership or joint venture to act as a

potential prime contractor; or (2) a potential prime contractor agrees with one or more other companies to have them act as its subcontractors under a specified Government contract or acquisition program. In accordance with FAR Subpart 9.6, the Government will recognize the integrity and validity of contractor team arrangements; provided, the arrangements are identified and company relationships are fully disclosed in the offer. The Offeror shall identify the major or critical aspects of the requirement to be performed by those identified in the Contractor Team Arrangement. The submission must contain a narrative that clearly explains the relevance to a particular factor of information concerning a company that is part of a Contractor Team Arrangement. The Government will consider the adequacy of this explanation in deciding the relevance of the information to this procurement.

2.3 If applicable, the Offeror shall submit evidence from the Offeror's SBA Servicing Agency that the Offeror has notified and discussed the proposed joint venture for this project with the appropriate SBA personnel. For 8(a) set-aside procurements, all prospective Joint Ventures must comply with Title 13 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 124.513. For HUB Zone set-aside procurements and awards to HUB Zone SBCs through full and open competition after a price evaluation preference in favor of qualified HUB Zone SBCs, a Joint Venture must comply with 13 CFR 126.616.

2.4 Information submitted about any company other than the Offeror, whether a predecessor company, affiliated company, subsidiary (including wholly owned subsidiaries), subcontractors that will perform major or critical aspects of this requirement, or other associated business, WILL NOT be evaluated for any factor unless the proposal contains a detailed narrative explaining why this submitted information is relevant to this acquisition. The Government will consider the adequacy of this explanation in deciding the relevance and weight of the information to this procurement. Information about subcontractors may not be given much weight unless the proposal contains some evidence that the subcontractor is committed to perform the work. Where information about a subcontractor is properly submitted and given significant weight during the evaluation, the failure of the Offeror to actually perform with that subcontractor may be grounds for termination for default.

2.5 Offerors shall submit their proposal to the address shown in Block 7 of Standard Form 1442.

2.6 Proposals are due no later than the time and date specified in Block 13 of Standard Form 1442.

3. GENERAL PROPOSAL FORMAT:

3.1 Title Page. Include the title of the solicitation, solicitation number, Offeror name, and date of the submittal.

3.2 Table of Contents. Each volume of the proposal shall contain a detailed table of contents. If more than one binder is used, the complete table of contents shall be included in each. Any materials submitted but not required by this solicitation (such as company brochures) shall be relegated to appendices.

3.3 Printed Matter Submissions. Written material shall be on 8 ½ x 11 inch paper printed in no less than a 10 pitch or 10 fonts. **Pages shall be numbered.**

3.4 Binders. Proposals shall be submitted in tabbed; three ring binders as two separate volumes. Volume I shall be comprised of Tabs A through G only. Volume II shall be comprised of Tabs H through K.

3.5 Number of Copies. Submit original and one (1) copy of VOLUME I and an original and five(5) copies of Volume II.

3.6 Tabs. Proposals shall be organized and tabbed as follows:

Volume I

TAB A – Standard Form 1442, completed and signed by an authorized person from the company or team. Offers submitted in the name of a Joint Venture must be signed in accordance with the terms and conditions specified in the joint venture agreement as evidenced in the proposal.

TAB B – Proposal Pricing Schedule, Section 00010.

TAB C – Section 00600 – Representations and Certifications.

TAB D – Proposal Data Sheet – See Attachment 1 provided at the end of the Phase 1 section. Offerors should ensure telephone number; fax number, e-mail address and DUNS number are all included. DUNS number will be used to access CCASS data. Offerors should also provide any other assigned number that identifies them in the CCASS database. If a separate DUNS has been created for a joint venture (J-V) it must be submitted. A DUNS number shall also be provided for each company identified in any other proposed association of firms or Contractor Team Arrangement.

TAB E – Teaming Agreement, if applicable. See paragraph 2.0. Note to 8(a)—SBA must approve a joint venture agreement prior to the award of an 8(a) contract on behalf of the joint venture. See paragraph 2.3

TAB F – Bid Bond—submit in accordance with FAR clause 52.228-1, Bid Guarantee. This item is not rated. However, the Government will review the bid bond for legal sufficiency. Any bid bond found to be legally insufficient may render an offer ineligible for award.

TAB G – Financial Information (e.g. past three years financial statements, annual reports, Dun & Bradstreet Ratings and/or number, etc.)

VOLUME II – TECHNICAL INFORMATION. Organized and tabbed as follows:

TAB H – FACTOR 1: CORPORATE RELEVANT SPECIALIZED EXPERIENCE

TAB I – FACTOR 2: OFFEROR PAST PERFORMANCE

TAB J – FACTOR 3: SCHEDULE

Tab K – Any other information provided by the Offeror.

4. TAB H – FACTOR 1: CORPORATE RELEVANT SPECIALIZED EXPERIENCE.

4.1 Submission Requirements.

4.1.1 The contractor shall demonstrate recent, relevant experience on similar projects, using Attachment 2 at the end of this section. Offerors may identify state and local government and private contracts that are similar to the Government's requirements. Design firms may list prime contractors they have worked for or government, private or commercial customers. If the Offeror is a joint venture, each firm shall provide information, demonstrating experience relevant to their role on this project. If any firm has multiple functions or divisions, limit the project examples to those performed by the division, unit or team member submitting the offer.

4.1.2 Projects will be considered similar to this procurement if they are similar in complexity in type, scope or magnitude. The projects submitted shall include the following criteria. Projects do not have to include all of the criteria; however, projects that meet all of the criteria may be more highly rated.

4.1.2.2 Combinations of renovation and new work.

4.1.2.3 Project Size – Projects submitted by the Offeror's should have a construction value of \$1,200,000 or higher.

4.1.3 Additionally, projects reflecting the following features in terms of relevant features.

4.1.3.1 Renovations of administrative office spaces including fitness areas/locker rooms, and connected equipment storage.

4.1.3.2 General office space with reconfigurable walls and partitions

4.1.3.3 Conference rooms with audio-visual conferencing capabilities

4.1.3.4 Rooms/Spaces for open storage of classified materials. Sensitive Compartmented Information Facilities

4.1.3 Offerors shall submit at least three (3) Project Information Sheets and no more than five (5) Project Information Sheets total for construction completed or substantially complete (at least 90% construction progress completed) within five (5) years preceding the date of this Solicitation. These projects shall reflect specialized experience in the construction elements referenced in 4.1.2 above. The examples should be similar as possible to this solicitation in type and scope. As a minimum, the Project Information Sheets shall provide; the Project Point of

Contact with telephone number, general character, scope, location cost, and date of completion or anticipated completion date and percent constructed as of the date of the solicitation. For purpose of this Request for Proposal, if the Offeror represents the combining of two or more companies in a Contract Team Arrangement, as defined above, each company may provide three (3) no more than five (5) Project Information Sheets.

4.1.4 The Offeror may provide a supplemental narrative (not project lists), not to exceed two pages, explaining how any experience that is not directly related to the specific projects above is applicable to this project and how the Government will benefit.

4.1.5 The Offeror should describe any previous teaming experience between current team members, if not described in the project list.

4.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA.

4.2.1 The Government will evaluate the extent of recent, related experience of the Offeror. Experience on the projects identified through the use of Attachment 2 will receive more consideration than experience provided in the supplemental narrative. The Government may place greater importance on projects performed as a prime contractor than as a subcontractor, depending upon overall role and relevancy of the project. Federal Government project experience will not be rated inherently more important than non-Federal Government project experience.

4.2.2 The Government reserves the right to verify the experience record of cited projects or other recent projects by reviewing the Corps of Engineers Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS), other DOD or Government appraisal systems or by contacting owners or references. The Government may check any or all cited references to verify supplied information.

4.2.3 The Government will consider the recent, relevant experience of the Offeror. If a firm has multiple functions or divisions, the Government will only evaluate the experience of the unit or division submitting the offer. The Government may also consider the experience information submitted on any other team member. However, in accordance with paragraphs 2.2 and 2.4, above, the consideration and weight given to such information concerning other than the Offeror will be based on the extent of involvement of the team member in the project and the adequacy of the proposal in identifying and addressing such arrangements and roles.

4.2.4 The relevant experience of key personnel proposed for this project will not be evaluated or considered under this factor.

4.2.5 If the Offeror cannot provide information concerning recent, relevant experience on similar projects or the Offeror has no relevant experience, a determination will be made as to the risk this lack of experience presents to the Government and the Offeror will be given an appropriate rating for this factor.

5. TAB I – FACTOR 2: OFFEROR PAST PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

5.1 Submission Requirements.

5.1.1 Past performance refers to the quality of recent project experience from the owner's perspective. The Offeror shall complete and provide a Past Performance Information Sheet on at least three (3) projects but no more than five (5) projects which meet the criteria described in paragraph 4.1.2 above using Attachment 3. If any firm has multiple functions or divisions, limit the project examples to those performed by the division, unit or team member submitting the offer. Additional Past Performance Information Sheets may be submitted for consideration on any other member of a Team Arrangement as defined in paragraph 2.2 that will perform a major or critical aspect of the project. Projects cited on the Past Performance Information Sheets shall be at least 90% construction progress completed or successfully completed within three (3) years preceding the date of this solicitation. The Government may contact and interview the points of contact and reserves the right to interview other individuals if the point of contact is not available utilizing the sample questionnaire shown at Attachment 4. The Offeror may briefly provide information on problems encountered on identified projects and any corrective action.

5.1.2 For reference and additional evaluation purposes, Offeror's shall provide a Past Performance Questionnaire, shown at Attachment 4, to the Points of Contact specified on each of the Past Performance Information Sheets that is submitted. A sample Transmittal Letter to accompany the questionnaire is provided at Attachment 5. Completed questionnaires shall be submitted directly from the reference(s) to the Savannah District Contract Specialist identified in Block 9a of the 1442 either via email, facsimile, or mail. This authorization for email or facsimile submission does not extend to any other aspect of the proposal. Completed questionnaires must be received by the date and time established for receipt of proposals. It is incumbent upon the Offeror to ensure completed questionnaires are timely received.

5.1.3 Other Sources. The Government may contact sources other than those provided by the Offeror for information with respect to past performance. These other sources may include but are not limited to: CCASS (Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System), other Government sources, and telephone interviews with organizations or individuals familiar with the Offeror's performance.

5.1.4 The past performance of key personnel or individuals will not be credited under this factor.

5.2 Evaluation.

5.2.1 The Government will evaluate the Offeror's past performance using the sources available to it including but not limited to: the example projects identified by the Offeror, Past Performance Evaluation Questionnaires received and CCASS. Offerors may be provided an opportunity to address any negative past performance information about which the Offeror has not previously had an opportunity to respond if such information is determined to present an impact on the rating to be assessed.

5.2.2 The Government will consider past performance of the Offeror. If a firm has multiple functions or divisions, the Government will only evaluate the past performance of the unit or division submitting the offer. The Government may also consider the past performance information submitted on any other team member. However, in accordance with paragraphs 2.2 and 2.4, above, the consideration and weight given to past performance information concerning other than the Offeror will be based on the extent of involvement of the team member in the project and the adequacy of the proposal in identifying and addressing such arrangements and roles.

5.2.3 The Government will consider relevant projects that are currently well underway (at least 90% construction progress completed) successfully completed or are substantially completed within 3 years preceding the date of the solicitation. Projects should include the criteria in paragraph 4.1.2 above. Projects do not have to include all of the criteria; however, projects that meet all of the criteria may be considered more relevant and may be more highly rated. The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in contractor performance. The Government places higher value on projects for which successful performance can be confirmed through sources such as telephone interviews with the contacts identified in the proposal, CCASS or other agency performance databases, Offeror furnished references or personal knowledge. The Government places a higher value on projects which provided particularly difficult or unique challenges and the innovative methods the Offeror used to resolve problems successfully.

5.3 The Government will evaluate past performance based on the elements listed below:

5.3.1 Quality of Construction. Based on information provided in the questionnaire and other information, the Government will assess the quality of the actual construction undertaken and the standards of workmanship exhibited by the Offeror's team.

5.3.2 Timeliness of Performance. The Government will evaluate all information available with respect to the Offeror completing past projects within the scheduled completion times.

5.3.2 Customer Satisfaction. The Government will evaluate all information available with respect to the Offeror's past customer satisfaction, cooperation with customers, and interaction on past projects.

5.3.3 Subcontractor Management. The Government will evaluate all information available with respect to the Offeror's management of subcontractors on past projects.

5.4 If the Government does not obtain past performance information for the projects identified by the Offeror and cannot establish a past performance record for the Offeror through other sources, past performance will be rated neither favorably nor unfavorably.

6. TAB J - FACTOR 3: Schedule. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's schedule by considering the Offeror's understanding and capability of successfully managing the project to completion.

6.1 Schedule

6.1.2 The Offeror shall clearly state the total performance period proposed from the contract Notice to Proceed, turnover of the facility for use by the end user's unrestricted use, transition and facility demolition. This period shall be inclusive of all review period required by the Government and specified in Section 01 33 00 SUBMITTAL PROCEDURES.

6.1.3 The Government's desire is that all construction on this project be completed within 240 calendar days. The schedule durations will be from the Notice to Proceed to Beneficial Occupancy inclusive of all review periods. Completion periods of significantly lesser durations may be rated as more advantageous to the Government. If the Offeror proposes a completion period of lesser duration than the Government requirement, the following statement shall be completed and submitted:

Statement of Compliance

[Insert name of the Offeror] hereby proposes that the period of performance for all construction is _____ calendar days from Notice to Proceed, inclusive of Government review periods and Government phasing requirements specified.

[Insert name of the Offeror] hereby certifies that the offer of this performance period is at no additional cost to the Government over the performance period specified as the minimum Government requirements.

6.1.4 Integrated Construction Schedule. Submit an Integrated Construction Schedule with all areas clearly identified. The schedule for construction shall be task oriented, indicating dates by which milestones are to be achieved. The Offeror may use a critical path or other method of his/her choice; however, the schedule shall be graphically represented. The schedule will as a minimum show the Notice to Proceed, Beneficial Occupancy and demolition period.

6.1.5 The Offeror shall also submit a narrative, not to exceed a page, explaining how the schedules will be achieved.

6.2 Evaluation.

6.2.1 Schedule Information. The schedule will be evaluated to assess the inclusion of "fast tracking" and the rationale of how the Offeror intends to comply with the submitted schedule. The schedule must reflect a single task oriented structure for construction. The schedule will be reviewed for completeness and the inclusion of required milestones. A schedule that improves on the Government supplied maximum duration will be considered more favorably during the evaluation.

7. EVALUATION STANDARDS. Evaluation criteria (factors) will be rated using the following adjectival descriptions. Evaluators will apply the appropriate adjective to each criterion rated. The evaluator's narrative explanation must clearly establish that the Offeror's submittal meets the definitions established below. As each criterion is evaluated an assessment

of Performance Risk will be made. Performance Risk relates to the assessment of an Offeror's present and past work and accomplishments to determine the Offeror's ability to successfully perform as required.

7.1 **OUTSTANDING** - No doubt exists that the Offeror will successfully perform the required effort based on their performance record. The proposal has exceptional merit and reflects an excellent approach which will clearly result in the superior attainment of all requirements and objectives. The proposal contains no significant weaknesses, deficiencies or disadvantages and presents very low risk that it will not be successful. This clearly achievable approach includes numerous advantageous characteristics of substance, and essentially no disadvantages, which can be expected to result in outstanding performance. The risk of unsuccessful performance is very low as the proposal provides solutions which are unquestionably feasible and practical. These solutions are further considered very low risk in that they are exceptionally clear and precise, fully supported, and demonstrate a clear understanding of the requirements.

7.2 **ABOVE AVERAGE** - Little doubt exists that the Offeror will successfully perform the required effort based on their performance record. The proposal demonstrates a sound approach which is expected to meet all requirements and objectives and exceed some. Any weaknesses noted in the proposal are minor and should not seriously affect the Offeror's performance and presents low risk that it will not be successful. This sound approach includes advantageous characteristics of substance, and few relatively minor disadvantages, which collectively can be expected to result in above average performance. The risk of unsuccessful performance is low as the proposal contains solutions which are considered feasible and practical. These solutions are further considered to reflect low risk in that they are clear and precise, reasonably supported, and demonstrate an understanding of the requirements.

7.3 **SATISFACTORY** - Some doubt exists that the Offeror will successfully perform the required effort based on their performance record. If the Offeror has no record of relevant past performance upon which to base a meaningful performance risk prediction, this rating will be assigned for Past Performance. The proposal demonstrates an approach which is capable of meeting all requirements and objectives. The Offeror may satisfactorily complete the proposed tasks, but there is at least a moderate risk that it will not be successful. The approach includes both advantageous and disadvantageous characteristics of substance, where the advantages are not outweighed by the disadvantages. Collectively, the advantages and disadvantages are likely to result in acceptable performance. The risk of unsuccessful performance is moderate, as the proposal solutions are generally feasible and practical. These solutions are further considered to reflect moderate risk in that they are somewhat clear and precise, partially supported, and demonstrate a general understanding of the requirements.

7.4 **MARGINAL** - Significant doubt exists that the Offeror will successfully perform the required effort based on their performance record. The proposal demonstrates an approach which may not be capable of meeting all requirements and objectives. The Offeror may satisfactorily complete the proposed tasks, but there is a high risk that it will not be successful. The approach has disadvantages of substance and advantages, which if they exist, are outweighed by the disadvantages. Collectively, the advantages and disadvantages are not likely to result in satisfactory performance. The risk of unsuccessful performance is high as the

proposal contains solutions which may not be feasible and practical. These solutions are further considered to reflect high risk in that they lack clarity and precision, are generally unsupported, and do not demonstrate a complete understanding of the requirements.

7.5 UNSATISFACTORY - It is extremely doubtful that the Offeror will successfully perform the required effort based on their performance record. The proposal demonstrates an approach which will very likely not be capable of meeting all requirements and objectives. In terms of a specific factor, the Offeror fails to meet the minimum requirements and there is unacceptably high risk that it will not be successful. This approach has numerous disadvantages of substance, and advantages which, if they exist, are far outweighed by disadvantages. Collectively, the advantages and disadvantages will not result in satisfactory performance. The risk of unsuccessful performance is very high as the proposal contains solutions which are not feasible and practical. The solutions are further considered to reflect very high risk in that they lack any clarity or precision, are unsupported, and do not demonstrate an understanding of the requirement.

8. RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF FACTORS.

8.1 PRICE is equal in importance to ALL TECHNICAL FACTORS when combined.

FACTOR 1: Corporate Relevant Specialized Experience – This Factor is more important than Factors 2 and 3. FACTOR 2: Past Performance is more important than FACTOR 3: Schedule.

9. PROPOSAL EVALUATION.

9.1 Each member of the Government evaluation team (Source Selection Board) will independently consider all information provided in the proposal. Worksheets are provided on the following pages, which the evaluators will use to review and rate the individual proposals.

9.2 Once these individual analyses are completed, the team will meet and determine a rating for each of the evaluation factors by consensus decision.

9.3 The evaluation team will document strengths, weaknesses, and omissions to support the rating for each factor as well as the overall rating. Documentation and comments are required for all ratings.

9.4 This final overall rating, along with ratings on individual factors, will be provided to the Contracting Officer/Source Selection Authority for the best value decision.

10. PRICE.

10.1 The Government will perform a price analysis on all proposals received. Price analysis will be performed in accordance with FAR 15.404-1, to determine completeness, reasonableness, and understanding of the work. The evaluation will determine the adequacy of the offer in

fulfilling the requirements of the proposal. Completeness addresses the extent to which the elements of the price proposal are consistent with the requirements of the RFP. Reasonableness will be established using historical price information, price competition information, the IGE, and any other pricing tools necessary.

10.2 Price will not be scored, but will be a factor in establishing the competitive range prior to discussions (if held) and in making the final best value determination for award.

11. EXCEPTIONS. Exceptions to the contractual terms and conditions of the solicitation (e.g., standard company terms and conditions) may result in a determination to reject a proposal.

11.1 RESTRICTIONS. Failure to submit all the data in the format indicated in this section may be cause for determining a proposal incomplete and, therefore, not considered for evaluation, and for subsequent award.

12. BASIS FOR AWARD

12.1 Proposals must meet the criteria stated in the RFP in order to be eligible for award, to include responsiveness, technical acceptability and responsibility.

12.2 In order to determine which proposal represents the best overall value, the Government will compare proposals to one another. The Government will award a contract to the responsible Offeror whose technical submittal and price proposal contains the combination of those criteria described in this document offering the best overall value to the Government. Best value will be determined by a comparative assessment of proposals against all source selection criteria in this RFP.

12.3 As technical ratings and relative advantages and disadvantages become less distinct, differences in price between proposals are of increased importance in determining the most advantageous proposal. Conversely, as differences in price become less distinct, differences in scoring and relative advantages and disadvantages between proposals are of increased importance to the determination.

12.4 The Government reserves the right to accept other than the lowest priced offer. The right is also reserved to reject any and all offers. The basis of award will be a conforming offer, the price or cost of which may or may not be the lowest. If other than the lowest offer, it must be sufficiently more advantageous than the lowest offer to justify the payment of additional amounts.

12.5 Offerors are reminded to include their best technical and price terms in their initial offer and not to automatically assume that they will have an opportunity to participate in discussions or be asked to submit a revised offer. The Government may make award of a conforming proposal without discussions, if deemed to be within the best interests of the Government.

ATTACHMENT 1
VOLUME I - TAB D
PROPOSAL DATA SHEET
W912HN-09-R-0047
SOF SECURITY / FORCE PROTECTION (14TH ASOS), FORT BRAGG, NORTH
CAROLINA

1. Name of Firm:
Address

Phone:

Fax:

E-mail:

Duns # (used for accessing CCASS)

If a joint venture or contractor-subcontractor association of firms, list the individual firms and briefly describe the nature of the association. Provide DUNS for each firm.

Firm 1:

Firm 2: _____

Firm 3:

Nature of Association: _____

2. AUTHORIZED NEGOTIATORS. FAR 52.215-11

The offeror represents that the following persons are authorized to negotiate on its behalf with the Government in connection with this Request for Proposals (RFP).

[List names, titles, and telephone number of the authorized negotiator.]

Name of Person Authorized to Negotiate:

Negotiator's Address:

Negotiator's Telephone: _

Negotiator's E-mail:

Single E-Mail Address to which a Notice of Award will be sent:

ATTACHMENT 2
VOLUME II – TAB I
PROJECT INFORMATION SHEET
W912HN-09-R-0047
SOF SECURITY / FORCE PROTECTION (14TH ASOS), FORT BRAGG, NORTH
CAROLINA

FACTOR 1: CORPORATE RELEVANT SPECIALIZED EXPERIENCE.

On an attached sheet, provide information for in-progress or completed projects that are similar in terms of cost, complexity, design or features, (See elements identified in paragraph 4.1.2 of Section 00100) that have been proposed by the offeror to be used for reference and evaluation purposes. For each project provide the following information:

Project Title:

Location:

Contract number:

Nature of involvement in this project, i.e. General Contractor, subcontractor, designer:

Procuring activity:

Procurement point of contact and telephone number:

List date of construction completion or percent completion if construction is underway:

Address of building(s):

Address and telephone number of owner:

Indicate type of project (private sector, Government, planned unit development, etc.):

General character:

Total cost:

ATTACHMENT 3
VOLUME II – TAB I
PAST PERFORMANCE INFORMATION SHEET
W912HN-09-R-0047
SOF SECURITY / FORCE PROTECTION (14TH ASOS), FORT BRAGG, NORTH
CAROLINA

FACTOR 2: OFFEROR PAST PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

1. On an attached sheet, provide information for projects (see paragraph 5 of Section 00100) These should be the same projects for which questionnaires have been provided to the Procurement Point of Contact. If the offeror is made up of separate construction companies that have combined for this project, then this item must be completed twice (once for each company). For each project provide the information shown. Failure to provide this minimum information may result in lower ratings.

2. For each project provide the following information:

Project Title:

Location:

Contract number:

Procuring activity:

Procurement point of contact and telephone number:

List date of construction completion or percent completion if construction is underway:

Address of building(s):

Address and telephone number of owner:

Indicate type of project (private sector, Government, planned unit development, etc.):

General character:

Total cost:

Total cost of all modifications:

3. **LIQUIDATED DAMAGES.** On an attached sheet, list any projects within the last three years that have been assessed liquidated damages. Provide an explanation.

4. **TERMINATED PROJECTS.** On an attached sheet, list any projects within the last three years that have been terminated. Provide an explanation.

ATTACHMENT 4
PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE
W912HN-09-R-0047
SOF SECURITY / FORCE PROTECTION (14TH ASOS), FORT BRAGG, NORTH
CAROLINA

Upon completion of this form, please send directly to the US Army Corps of Engineers Contracting Office via e-mail, facsimile, or mail. E-mail address is verona.mundle@usace.army.mil.

Mailing address is U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Savannah District, ATTN: CT-C/Verona Mundle, 100 West Oglethorpe Avenue, Savannah, Georgia 31401.

Do not return this form to our offices. Thank you.

1. Contractor/Name & Address (City and State):

2. Type of Contract: Fixed Price _____ Cost Reimbursement _____
Other (Specify) _____

3. Contract Number:

4. Description of Work: (Attach additional pages as necessary)

5. Complexity of Work: High _____ mid _____ Routine _____

6. Location of Work: _____

7. Date of Award: _____

8. Status: Active _____ (provide percent complete)

Complete _____ (provide completion date)

9. Name, address and telephone number of Contracting Officer's Technical Representative:

Con't
W912HN-09-R-0047
SOF SECURITY / FORCE PROTECTION (14TH ASOS)
FORT BRAGG, NORTH CAROLINA

10. QUALITY OF CONSTRUCTION:

Evaluate the contractor's performance in complying with contract requirements, quality achieved and overall technical expertise demonstrated.

Outstanding Quality	
Above Average Quality	
Satisfactory Quality	
Marginal Quality	
Unsatisfactory or Experienced Significant Quality Problems	

Remarks: _____

11. TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE:

To what extent did the contractor meet the contract and/or individual task order schedules if the contract was an indefinite delivery type contract?

Completed Substantially Ahead of Schedule (Outstanding)	
Completed Ahead of Schedule (Above Average)	
Completed on Schedule with Minor Delays Under Extenuating Circumstances (Satisfactory)	
Completed Behind Schedule (Marginal)	
Experienced Significant Delays without Justification (Unsatisfactory)	

Remarks: _____

12. CUSTOMER SATISFACTION:

To what extent were the end users satisfied with:

	Quality	Cost	Schedule
Exceptionally Satisfied (Outstanding)			
Highly Satisfied (Above Average)			
Satisfied (Satisfactory)			
Somewhat Dissatisfied (Marginal)			
Highly Dissatisfied (Unsatisfactory)			

Remarks: _____

13. SUBCONTRACTOR MANAGEMENT

How well did the contractor manage and coordinate subcontractors, suppliers, and the labor force?

Outstanding management and coordination of subcontractors	
Above Average management and coordination of subcontractors	
Satisfactory management and coordination of subcontractors	
Marginal management and coordination of subcontractors	
Unsatisfactory management and coordination of subcontractors	

Remarks: _____

14. IF GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY, WOULD YOU WORK WITH THIS CONTRACTOR AGAIN?

Yes _____ No _____ Not Sure _____

15. OTHER REMARKS:

Use the space below to provide other information related to the contractor's performance. This may include the contractor's selection and management of subcontractors, flexibility in dealing with contract challenges, their overall concern for the Government's interest (if applicable), project awards received, etc.

ATTACHMENT 5
SAMPLE TRANSMITTAL LETTER
AND
PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE

Date: _____

To: _____

We have listed your firm as a reference for work we have performed for you as listed below. Our firm has submitted a proposal under a project advertised by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Savannah District. In accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), an evaluation of our firm's past performance will be completed by the Corps of Engineers. Your candid response to the attached questionnaire will assist the evaluation team in this process.

We understand that you have a busy schedule and your participation in this evaluation is greatly appreciated. Please complete the enclosed questionnaire as thoroughly as possible. Space is provided for comments. Understand that while the responses to this questionnaire may be released to the offeror, FAR 15.306 (e) (4) prohibits the release of the names of the persons providing the responses. Complete confidentiality will be maintained. Furthermore, a questionnaire has also been sent to _____ of your organization. Only one response from each office is required. If at all possible, we request that you individually answer this questionnaire and then coordinate your responses with that of _____, to develop a consensus on one overall response from your organization.

Please send your completed questionnaire to the following address to arrive **NOT LATER THAN the date and time proposals are due: 15 July 2009**

Physical Address:
US Army Engineer District, Savannah
CECT-SAS-C (Verona Mundle)
100 West Oglethorpe Street
Savannah, Georgia 31402

Mailing Address:
US Army Engineer District, Savannah
CESAS-CT-C (Verona Mundle)
Post Office Box 889
Savannah, Georgia 31402

(End of Summary of Changes)